Program for Public Consultation

Consulting the People on Public Policy

Consulting the American People on the 2001/2003 Tax Cuts

February 16, 2012

Ouestionnaire

Dates of Survey: Dec 3-9, 2011 Margin of Error: Varies from +/- 4.8 points

Sample Size: 680 MoE includes design effect of 1.6431

[Full Sample]

As you know, the economy is not doing well. There are various proposals for helping to get the economy going, all of which are controversial.

We will be presenting a number of these proposals to you. Most deal with changes to taxes. Some proposals cut certain taxes, with some cutting taxes paid by workers, while other would cut taxes paid by employers. Other proposals are for increasing tax revenues.

We would like to know what you think about these proposals. In each case we will explain the proposal. You will then read and evaluate a number of arguments for and against the proposal and then finally express your view.

There is no right or wrong answer, so please answer the questions based on your knowledge or impressions. If you do not know the answer to a question, you can simply skip it and go on to the next question.

Q1-Q7 previous released.

Statement: Now here are some proposals for individual income taxes.

As you may know, in 2001 and 2003 Congress passed temporary cuts to individual income taxes. These were supposed to expire at the end of 2010, with taxes returning to the levels that had been in place since 1994. However, in 2010 the tax cuts were extended to the end of 2012.

Here are three major proposals about what should happen after 2012—along with official estimates of the effect each approach would have on government revenue, compared to having the tax cuts expire, as currently mandated by law:

Proposal	Effect on government revenue
Having the income tax cuts expire (current	No effect
law)	
Making the tax cuts permanent for both	Reduces projected revenue (i.e. increases
those with high incomes and those making	deficit) by approximately \$3.7 trillion over
less.	the next decade.
Having the tax cuts expire for those with	Reduces projected revenue (i.e. increases
high incomes (households that make more	deficit) by approximately \$3.0 trillion over
than \$250,000 a year), but keeping the tax	the next decade.
cuts for those making less.	

Here are arguments for each of these positions. For each, please select how convincing or unconvincing you find them.

Two arguments in favor of having the tax cuts expire as currently mandated by law.

Q8. Ever since these tax cuts were put in place, the US has been running up its national debt to dangerously high levels—currently over \$14 trillion—undermining confidence in the US and leading to a downgrading of America's credit rating. Keeping the tax cuts will produce crushing debt for the next generation, and threaten the economic recovery.

Very convincing	26%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Somewhat convincing	42
Republicans	45
Democrats	36
Independents	48
Somewhat unconvincing	17
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Very unconvincing	11
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	6
Refused/Don't know	3

Q9. All we're talking about is returning to the tax rates of the late 1990s. The economy grew well then; there was job growth and a balanced budget. Since then the tax cuts have created huge deficits. The rich have gotten richer but there has been little job growth, while wages have stagnated for the middle class. It's time to get back to a common-sense tax system that works for all Americans.

Very convincing.	35%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	31
Somewhat convincing	38
Republicans	36
Democrats	
Independents	

Somewhat unconvincing	14
Republicans	18
Democrats	
Independents	13
Very unconvincing	10
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	10
Refused/Don't know	4

Two arguments in favor of making the tax cuts permanent for both those with high incomes and those making less.

Q10. People think that the easy thing is to soak the rich. But it is people with capital who are able to invest and create jobs. Taxing away their resources will only set back the economic recovery.

Very convincing	11%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	8
Somewhat convincing	35
Republicans	43
Democrats	
Independents	35
Somewhat unconvincing	33
Republicans	27
Democrats	
Independents	
Very unconvincing	18
Republicans	
Democrats	29
Independents	
Refused/Don't know	3

Q11. It is true that the deficit is a problem, but the solution is to cut spending, not increase taxes. Government is simply too big. When government sucks money out of the economy through taxes it undermines the entrepreneurial spirit and weakens the economic vitality of America.

Very convincing	41%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Somewhat convincing	31
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	28
Somewhat unconvincing	17
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	14
Very unconvincing	9
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Refused/Don't know	3

Two arguments in favor of having the tax cuts expire for those with high incomes (households that make more than \$250,000 a year), but keeping the tax cuts for those making less.

Q12. People with low to middle incomes shouldn't be hit with a tax increase in an economic downturn. If they suddenly have less, this will reduce demand, lower sales and hurt the economy. But this isn't true of the 1-2% with household incomes above \$250,000--they aren't going to change their spending because of a tax increase that represents only a small percentage of their overall income.

Very convincing	36%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Somewhat convincing	37
Republicans	43
Democrats	
Independents	34
Company hat unconvincing	1.5
Somewhat unconvincing	
Republicans	17
Democrats	13
Independents	15

Very unconvincing	9
Republicans	11
Democrats	
Independents	12
Refused/Don't know	3
Q13. Since the tax cuts went into effect a decade ago, the level of ir	nequality in America has grown far worse.
t's time for the rich—who have grown far richer—to contribute the	
middle class—whose wages have been stagnant or worse—still nee	
Very convincing	41%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	
Somewhat convincing	27
Republicans	
Democrats	26
Independents	
Somewhat unconvincing	17
Republicans	
Democrats	11
Independents	17
Very unconvincing.	11
Republicans	20
Democrats	5
Independents	9
Refused/Don't know	4
Q14. Now having considered these arguments, which approach do	you favor for dealing with the tax cuts
bassed by Congress in 2001 and 2003 and extended in 2010:	
Having the tax cuts expire, as currently mandated by law	24%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	18
Making the tax cuts permanent for both those with	
high incomes and those making less	
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	27

Having the tax cuts expire for those with high incomes	
(households that make more than \$250,000 a year),	
but keeping the tax cuts for those making less	49
Republicans	41
Democrats	55
Independents	49
Refused/Don't know	5

Q14a. If Congress adopts a plan to let tax cuts expire for households with high incomes, do you think the cut off point should be \$250,000 a year or some other amount? Please choose what you think the cut off point should be:

\$150,000	28%
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	41
\$250,000	43
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	44
\$500,000	23
Republicans	
Democrats	
Independents	10
Refused/Don't know	5