NET NEUTRALITY

- QUESTIONNAIRE - February 2022

Fielded by: Nielsen Scarborough Field Dates: January 27 - February 28, 2022

Sample Size: 2,702 Registered Voters **Margin of Error:** +/- 1.9%

[Introduction]

In this survey, we'd like your opinions about some current issues. There are no right or wrong answers, so please answer the questions based on what seems right to you. If at any time you find that you do not want to answer a question, feel free to skip it and move on to the next one.

[Q1 – Q14 and Q 31-34 Released Separately]

[Net Neutrality]

Another proposal we would like you to consider calls for changing the regulations for Internet Service Providers. Internet Service Providers (or ISPs) are companies like Verizon or Comcast that give customers' access to the internet.

The proposal is to reinstate previous regulations intended to protect 'net neutrality'. This refers to a set of regulations that were established in 2015 by the Federal Communications Commission.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) passed a set of regulations to prohibit those behaviors and ensure that all data moving through the internet is treated equally. These regulations prohibited ISPs from doing the following:

- For websites and applications: creating an internet "fast lane" with faster download speeds for users of websites and applications that pay more, and a "slow lane" for those that don't
- Providing faster speeds to the ISP's own applications and slower speeds to their competitors' applications
- Blocking or intentionally slowing down specific websites or applications (unless it is providing illegal content or applications)
- For customers: slowing down the speed of transmission of data below the normal speeds for the plan they have purchased, unless it is for only a brief period of time in order to keep the network stable

In 2017, the FCC, under new leadership, repealed the net neutrality regulations. However, ISPs are still required to report to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) any instances when they engage in any of the behaviors mentioned above

Since then, ISPs have resumed some of the slowing and blocking activities that had been prohibited. There is currently a debate over whether the net neutrality regulations should be reinstated.

Here is an argument *in favor* of reinstating net neutrality regulations:

Q15. The repeal of net neutrality regulations has given ISPs a license to steal from consumers. Since its repeal, ISPs have been slowing internet speeds to certain customers, websites and applications, and then trying to get them to pay more just to increase speeds back to what they had originally paid for. They even did this to a fire department in California while they were battling wildfires. Furthermore, charging ever-higher fees to websites creates unfair markets: it tips the scales in favor of bigger website companies who can afford to buy higher speeds, driving out smaller competitors. It is also unfair competition: ISPs can slow down or block

access to any of their competitors' applications, like a TV streaming service or a video chat application. All this corrupts free market competition and reduces innovation. Reinstating net neutrality will benefit customers and level the playing field for businesses.

Q15.	Very Convincing	Somewhat Convincing	Total Convincing	Somewhat Unconvincing	Very Unconvincing	Total Unconvincing	Refused / DK
National	52.6%	25.9%	78.5%	12.3%	5.6%	17.9%	3.6%
Republicans	46.2%	28.2%	74.4%	14.1%	6.8%	20.9%	4.7%
Democrats	62.0%	24.1%	86.1%	8.3%	2.7%	11.0%	2.9%
Independents	46.4%	24.1%	70.5%	17.6%	9.5%	27.1%	2.4%
Cook's PVI (D-R)							
Very red	50.3%	24.3%	74.6%	15.4%	4.5%	19.9%	5.6%
Somewhat red	53.7%	26.1%	79.8%	11.4%	6.9%	18.3%	1.9%
Lean red	53.1%	25.3%	78.4%	12.5%	4.9%	17.4%	4.2%
Lean blue	54.4%	25.2%	79.6%	12.0%	5.1%	17.1%	3.3%
Somewhat blue	54.0%	25.8%	79.8%	9.4%	6.6%	16.0%	4.2%
Very blue	49.4%	29.2%	78.6%	12.8%	5.7%	18.5%	3.0%

Here is a counter argument:

Q16. Net neutrality rules are unnecessarily heavy-handed and stifle innovation. There is little evidence that restrictive rules are required, but there is evidence that they hold back the development of the internet in the United States, which is lagging behind other developed countries. Under net neutrality regulations, companies with websites would not have access to the cutting-edge download speeds that could upgrade the quality of their services. We need to keep ISPs free from this regulation so they can bring internet service in the US to a whole new level. When ISPs can do this, they can also provide lower cost internet service for other consumers and provide internet service to more areas. As long as ISPs are required to disclose any variation in download speeds or website blocking—as they are now—the market will make sure that the ISPs do not overreach.

Q16.	Very Convincing	Somewhat Convincing	Total Convincing	Somewhat Unconvincing	Very Unconvincing	Total Unconvincing	Refused / DK
National	12.4%	26.9%	39.3%	32.6%	27.0%	59.6%	1.0%
Republicans	15.5%	30.9%	46.4%	34.0%	18.6%	52.6%	1.0%
Democrats	9.1%	22.2%	31.3%	32.3%	35.1%	67.4%	1.3%
Independents	12.6%	28.4%	41.0%	29.8%	28.8%	58.6%	0.4%
Cook's PVI (D-R)							
Very red	12.9%	24.3%	37.2%	34.0%	27.5%	61.5%	1.3%
Somewhat red	15.8%	28.2%	44.0%	30.2%	25.0%	55.2%	0.7%
Lean red	13.2%	25.6%	38.8%	32.1%	27.7%	59.8%	1.4%
Lean blue	8.7%	28.9%	37.6%	32.3%	28.5%	60.8%	1.6%
Somewhat blue	14.2%	25.6%	39.8%	35.6%	24.0%	59.6%	0.5%
Very blue	10.5%	26.8%	37.3%	32.2%	30.1%	62.3%	0.4%

Here is an argument *against* reinstating net neutrality regulations:

Q17. Concerns about the repeal of net neutrality have been overblown and failed to recognize a key fact: that is, once the FCC repealed the net neutrality regulations, it reverted to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to take responsibility for ensuring that ISPs do not engage in anti-competitive and unfair practices. The FTC requires that any changes in the service they provide will be fully and publicly disclosed. With these protections, we will be able to count on the competitive forces of the market to ensure that ISPs provide the service that consumers want.

Q17.	Very Convincing	Somewhat Convincing	Total Convincing	Somewhat Unconvincing	Very Unconvincing	Total Unconvincing	Refused / DK
National	13.8%	36.9%	50.7%	31.2%	15.2%	46.4%	2.9%
Republicans	15.3%	41.5%	56.8%	29.4%	10.7%	40.1%	3.1%
Democrats	10.8%	34.4%	45.2%	32.7%	19.3%	52.0%	2.9%
Independents	17.2%	31.3%	48.5%	32.1%	17.1%	49.2%	2.3%
Cook's PVI (D-R)							
Very red	13.3%	34.8%	48.1%	33.4%	14.1%	47.5%	4.4%
Somewhat red	18.1%	39.8%	57.9%	27.9%	11.5%	39.4%	2.7%
Lean red	14.6%	35.8%	50.4%	30.9%	16.6%	47.5%	2.2%
Lean blue	10.7%	36.7%	47.4%	32.4%	16.7%	49.1%	3.4%
Somewhat blue	12.9%	39.8%	52.7%	31.0%	13.7%	44.7%	2.6%
Very blue	13.4%	34.3%	47.7%	31.0%	18.8%	49.8%	2.4%

Here is a counter argument:

Q18. Giving the FTC jurisdiction over ISPs has not prevented them from slowing down internet speeds and charging customers and websites more to get them back to normal. It only requires that they disclose their actions. Further, the FTC cannot police the long-standing carriers like Verizon and AT&T. Last, as we've seen, we cannot count on market competition to ensure that customers get what they want--many American households only have access to one high-speed broadband ISP and, thus, there is no competition. Even when there is more than one ISP, it is unlikely they will voluntarily forego the right to charge for access to certain websites.

Q18.	Very Convincing	Somewhat Convincing	Total Convincing	Somewhat Unconvincing	Very Unconvincing	Total Unconvincing	Refused / DK
National	27.0%	39.8%	66.8%	23.6%	7.8%	31.4%	1.7%
Republicans	21.2%	40.7%	61.9%	27.0%	8.9%	35.9%	2.2%
Democrats	33.5%	40.5%	74.0%	18.6%	6.0%	24.6%	1.5%
Independents	26.3%	35.7%	62.0%	27.1%	9.8%	36.9%	1.1%
Cook's PVI (D-R)							
Very red	24.0%	41.6%	65.6%	25.2%	7.1%	32.3%	2.0%
Somewhat red	24.2%	36.2%	60.4%	26.5%	11.8%	38.3%	1.4%
Lean red	30.4%	40.2%	70.6%	20.2%	7.7%	27.9%	1.5%
Lean blue	30.7%	39.9%	70.6%	19.9%	6.3%	26.2%	3.2%
Somewhat blue	25.7%	39.5%	65.2%	28.1%	5.8%	33.9%	1.0%
Very blue	24.7%	41.3%	66.0%	23.7%	9.2%	32.9%	1.1%

Q19. So, how acceptable would you find a proposal to reinstate net neutrality regulations? These regulations would prohibit ISPs from doing the following:

- For websites and applications: creating an internet "fast lane" with faster download speeds for those that pay more, and a "slow lane" for those who don't
- Providing faster speeds to the ISP's own services and slower speeds to their competitors' services
- Blocking or intentionally slowing down any website or application (unless it is providing illegal content or services)
- For customers: slowing down the speed of transmission of data below the normal speeds for the plan they have purchased

Q19.	Unacceptable (0-4)	Just Tolerable (5)	Acceptable (6-10)	Refused / Don't Know
National	34.2%	9.9%	54.5%	1.4%
Republicans	39.7%	11.0%	47.3%	2.0%
Democrats	26.2%	7.6%	65.0%	1.2%
Independents	39.5%	12.4%	47.4%	0.6%
Cook's PVI (D-R)				
Very red	36.0%	7.9%	54.0%	2.1%
Somewhat red	33.5%	9.6%	56.4%	0.5%
Lean red	37.0%	9.0%	53.1%	1.0%
Lean blue	33.9%	9.3%	53.8%	3.0%
Somewhat blue	32.2%	10.5%	56.0%	1.3%
Very blue	30.7%	12.6%	56.1%	0.6%

Q20. In conclusion, do you favor or oppose reinstating net neutrality regulations?

Q20.	Favor	Oppose	Refused / Don't Know	
National	72.5%	25.7%	1.8%	
Republicans	65.4%	32.2%	2.4%	
Democrats	82.0%	16.9%	1.2%	
Independents	67.5%	30.9%	1.6%	
Cook's PVI (D-R)				
Very red	68.1%	28.4%	3.5%	
Somewhat red	69.2%	29.5%	1.3%	
Lean red	74.6%	23.5%	1.8%	
Lean blue	75.5%	22.5%	2.1%	
Somewhat blue	73.3%	25.9%	0.8%	
Very blue	74.0%	24.8%	1.2%	

###